

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/5633.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Goodyear India Limited, Ballabgarh.

BEFORE SHRI R. N. BATRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 140/1983

between

SHRI MOHINDER SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GOODYEAR
INDIA LIMITED, BALLABGARH

Present—

Shri O.P. Malhotra with Shri Sat Pal Talwar, representative of the management.

None for the workman.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between Shri Mohinder Singh, workman and the management of M/s Goodyear India Ltd., Ballabgarh, to this Tribunal, for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohinder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Notices were issued to both the parties. It may be stated that on the last date of hearing none appeared on behalf of the workman even though he was represented personally and as such *ex parte* proceedings were ordered against the workman. Shri Sat Pal Talwar, representative of the management, stated that the dispute between the workman and the management had already been settled, — *vide* settlement Ex. M1 according to which, the workman had received the sum of Rs 2,270.00 in full and final settlement of his claim and he executed the receipt Ex. M-2 which bore his signatures and was correct and further stated that there was no dispute between the parties. In view of the testimony of Shri Sat Pal Talwar and recitals made in the documents Exhibit M-1 and M-2, the dispute between the parties stands settled. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated the 8th August, 1984.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 708, dated 9th August, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-6 Lab/5634.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Gupta Engineering, Plot No. 5, Sector 6, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI R. N. BATRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 258/1983

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GUPTA ENGINEERING, PLOT NO. 5,
SECTOR 6, FARIDABAD

Present—

None

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Gupta Engineering, Plot No. 5, Sector 6, Faridabad, to this Tribunal, for adjudication:—

Whether the workers should be designated and paid according to the nature of work being performed by them? If so, with what details?

Notices were issued to both the parties. None was present on behalf of the workmen even though they were represented on the last date of hearing and as such *ex parte* proceedings were ordered against the workmen. The management was already proceeded *ex parte* on 10th May, 1984. It appears that both the parties are not interested in the dispute. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated the 8th August, 1984

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 709, dated 9th August, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner & Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

The 7th September, 1984

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/5734.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries, ECE Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat.

BEFORE SHRI B. P. JINDAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 158 of 1983

between

SHRI ASREY HUSSAIN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JAI HANUMAN
INDUSTRIES, ECE NAGAR, DELHI ROAD, SONEPAT

Present—

Shri S. N. Solanki, A. R., for the workman.

None for the respondent.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, The Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute to this Court between the workman Shri Asrey Hussain and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries, ECE Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat, for adjudication, *vide* Labour Department Notification No. ID/49532-37, dated 20th September, 1983:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Asrey Hussain was justified and in order?
If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were issued to the parties. The authorised representative for the workman Shri S. N. Solanki made a statement that the workman has settled his claim with the management and as such, he does not want to prosecute the reference. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, I need not go into the controversy referred to this Court, so this reference is answered and returned accordingly. There is no order as to cost.

Dated the 30th July, 1984.

B. P. JINDAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Endst. No. 168-83/2745, dated the 6th August, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

B. P. JINDAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 9/5/84-Lab./5735.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries, ECE Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat:—

BEFORE SHRI B. P. JINDAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 159 of 83

between

SHRI MOHAN GUPTA, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. JAI HANUMAN INDUSTRIES, ECE NAGAR, DELHI ROAD, SONEPAT

Present —

Shri S. N. Solanki, A. R. for the workman.

None for the management.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute, to this court between Shri Mohan Gupta, workman and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries, ECE Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat, for adjudication, vide Labour Department Notification No. ID/49539-44, dated 20th September, 1983 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mohan Gupta was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices, were issued to the parties. Authorised representative for the workman Shri S.N. Solanki made a statement that the workman has settled his claim with the management and as such he does not want to prosecute the reference.

In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, I need not go into the controversy referred to this Court, so this reference is answered and returned accordingly. There is no order as to costs.

Dated the 30th July, 1984.

B. P. JINDAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Endst. No. 159-83/2746, dated the 6th August, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

B. P. JINDAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak,

No. 9/5/84-Lab/5736.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries, ECE Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat;—

BEFORE SHRI B. P. JINDAL, PRESIDING OFFICER,
LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

- Reference No. 160 of 83

between

SHRI RAM KRIT, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JAI HANUMAN
INDUSTRIES, ECE NAGAR, DELHI ROAD, SONEPAT

Present :—

Shri S. N. Solanki, A. R. for the workman.

None for the respondent.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute to this Court, between Shri Ram Ktit, workman and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries, ECE Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat, for adjudication,—vide Labour Department Notification No. ID/49546—51, dated 20th September, 1983 :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Ktit was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were issued to the parties. The authorised representative for the workman Shri S. N. Solanki made a statement that the workman has settled his claim with the management and as such, he does not want to prosecute the reference.

In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, I need not go into the controversy referred to this Court, so this reference is answered and returned accordingly. There is no order as to cost.

B. P. JINDAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Dated, the 30th July, 1984.

Endst. No. 160-83/2747, dated the 6th August, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

B. P. JINDAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak;